

# Computing Tight Bounds for Insurance Payments with Nonlinear Risk

Man Hong WONG<sup>1</sup>

Shuzhong ZHANG<sup>2</sup>

Aug 3, 2011

---

<sup>1</sup>ASA, FRM, The Chinese University of Hong Kong

<sup>2</sup>University of Minnesota

# Semidefinite Programming

$$\begin{aligned} (SDP) \quad & \inf \quad C \bullet X \\ & \text{s.t. } A_i \bullet X \leq b_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n \\ & \quad X \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $A \bullet B := \text{tr}(A^T B)$

- whole matrix  $X$  is a variable
- $X \geq 0$  means  $X$  is a semidefinite matrix (all eigenvalues of  $X$  are nonnegative)
- applications in engineering and finance
- any problem arriving at this form can be solved efficiently!

# Semidefinite Programming

$$\begin{aligned} (SDP) \quad & \inf \quad C \bullet X \\ & \text{s.t. } A_i \bullet X \leq b_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n \\ & \quad X \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $A \bullet B := \text{tr}(A^T B)$

- whole matrix  $X$  is a variable
- $X \geq 0$  means  $X$  is a semidefinite matrix (all eigenvalues of  $X$  are nonnegative)
- applications in engineering and finance
- any problem arriving at this form can be solved efficiently!

# Semidefinite Programming

$$\begin{aligned} (SDP) \quad & \inf \quad C \bullet X \\ & \text{s.t. } A_i \bullet X \leq b_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n \\ & \quad X \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $A \bullet B := \text{tr}(A^T B)$

- whole matrix  $X$  is a variable
- $X \geq 0$  means  $X$  is a semidefinite matrix (all eigenvalues of  $X$  are nonnegative)
- applications in engineering and finance
- any problem arriving at this form can be solved efficiently!

# Semidefinite Programming

$$\begin{aligned} (SDP) \quad & \inf \quad C \bullet X \\ & \text{s.t. } A_i \bullet X \leq b_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n \\ & \quad X \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $A \bullet B := \text{tr}(A^T B)$

- whole matrix  $X$  is a variable
- $X \geq 0$  means  $X$  is a semidefinite matrix (all eigenvalues of  $X$  are nonnegative)
- applications in engineering and finance
- any problem arriving at this form can be solved efficiently!

# Semidefinite Programming

$$\begin{aligned} (SDP) \quad & \inf \quad C \bullet X \\ & \text{s.t. } A_i \bullet X \leq b_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n \\ & \quad X \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $A \bullet B := \text{tr}(A^T B)$

- whole matrix  $X$  is a variable
- $X \geq 0$  means  $X$  is a semidefinite matrix (all eigenvalues of  $X$  are nonnegative)
- applications in engineering and finance
- any problem arriving at this form can be solved efficiently!

# Motivation

$$? \leq \mathbb{E}[\psi(x)] \leq ?$$

- when distribution is not known
- difficult to estimate the distribution, e.g. extreme events
- only some realizations of  $x$  exist  $\rightarrow$  moments can be estimated
- efficiently find the numerical bounds?
- 

$$\sup_{x \sim (m_1, \dots, m_n)} \mathbb{E}[\psi(x)]$$

# Motivation

$$? \leq \mathbb{E}[\psi(x)] \leq ?$$

- when distribution is not known
- difficult to estimate the distribution, e.g. extreme events
- only some realizations of  $x$  exist  $\rightarrow$  moments can be estimated
- efficiently find the numerical bounds?
- 

$$\sup_{x \sim (m_1, \dots, m_n)} \mathbb{E}[\psi(x)]$$



# Motivation

$$? \leq \mathbb{E}[\psi(x)] \leq ?$$

- when distribution is not known
- difficult to estimate the distribution, e.g. extreme events
- only some realizations of  $x$  exist  $\rightarrow$  moments can be estimated
- efficiently find the numerical bounds?
- 

$$\sup_{x \sim (m_1, \dots, m_n)} \mathbb{E}[\psi(x)]$$

# Motivation

$$? \leq \mathbb{E}[\psi(x)] \leq ?$$

- when distribution is not known
- difficult to estimate the distribution, e.g. extreme events
- only some realizations of  $x$  exist  $\rightarrow$  moments can be estimated
- efficiently find the numerical bounds?
- 

$$\sup_{x \sim (m_1, \dots, m_n)} \mathbb{E}[\psi(x)]$$

# Motivation

$$? \leq \mathbb{E}[\psi(x)] \leq ?$$

- when distribution is not known
- difficult to estimate the distribution, e.g. extreme events
- only some realizations of  $x$  exist  $\rightarrow$  moments can be estimated
- efficiently find the numerical bounds?



$$\sup_{x \sim (m_1, \dots, m_n)} \mathbb{E}[\psi(x)]$$

# Motivation

$$? \leq \mathbb{E}[\psi(x)] \leq ?$$

- when distribution is not known
- difficult to estimate the distribution, e.g. extreme events
- only some realizations of  $x$  exist  $\rightarrow$  moments can be estimated
- efficiently find the numerical bounds?
- 

$$\sup_{x \sim (m_1, \dots, m_n)} \mathbb{E}[\psi(x)]$$

## Brief Review

- analytical form:  $\psi(x)$  is (piecewise) linear:  
Scarf (1958), Jansen et al (1986), Lo (1987), Cox (1991)
- numerical ways with semidefinite programming (SDP):  
Bertsimas & Popescu (2000), Popescu (2005), Cox et al (2008), He et al (2010)
- $\psi(x)$  is nonlinear:
  - analytical: not likely
  - numerical way: Nesterov (1997) → Bertsimas & Popescu (2005) → We extend to (piecewise) fractional polynomials

## Brief Review

- analytical form:  $\psi(x)$  is (piecewise) linear:  
Scarf (1958), Jansen et al (1986), Lo (1987), Cox (1991)
- numerical ways with semidefinite programming (SDP):  
Bertsimas & Popescu (2000), Popescu (2005), Cox et al (2008), He et al (2010)
- $\psi(x)$  is nonlinear:
  - analytical: not likely
  - numerical way: Nesterov (1997) → Bertsimas & Popescu (2005) → We extend to (piecewise) fractional polynomials

## Brief Review

- analytical form:  $\psi(x)$  is (piecewise) linear:  
Scarf (1958), Jansen et al (1986), Lo (1987), Cox (1991)
- numerical ways with semidefinite programming (SDP):  
Bertsimas & Popescu (2000), Popescu (2005), Cox et al (2008), He et al (2010)
- $\psi(x)$  is nonlinear:
  - analytical: not likely
  - numerical way: Nesterov (1997) → Bertsimas & Popescu (2005) → We extend to (piecewise) fractional polynomials

## Brief Review

- analytical form:  $\psi(x)$  is (piecewise) linear:  
Scarf (1958), Jansen et al (1986), Lo (1987), Cox (1991)
- numerical ways with semidefinite programming (SDP):  
Bertsimas & Popescu (2000), Popescu (2005), Cox et al (2008), He et al (2010)
- $\psi(x)$  is nonlinear:
  - analytical: not likely
  - numerical way: Nesterov (1997) → Bertsimas & Popescu (2005) → We extend to (piecewise) fractional polynomials



## Brief Review

- analytical form:  $\psi(x)$  is (piecewise) linear:  
Scarf (1958), Jansen et al (1986), Lo (1987), Cox (1991)
- numerical ways with semidefinite programming (SDP):  
Bertsimas & Popescu (2000), Popescu (2005), Cox et al (2008), He et al (2010)
- $\psi(x)$  is nonlinear:
  - analytical: not likely
  - numerical way: Nesterov (1997) → Bertsimas & Popescu (2005) → We extend to (piecewise) fractional polynomials

## Brief Review

- analytical form:  $\psi(x)$  is (piecewise) linear:  
Scarf (1958), Jansen et al (1986), Lo (1987), Cox (1991)
- numerical ways with semidefinite programming (SDP):  
Bertsimas & Popescu (2000), Popescu (2005), Cox et al (2008), He et al (2010)
- $\psi(x)$  is nonlinear:
  - analytical: not likely
  - numerical way: Nesterov (1997) → Bertsimas & Popescu (2005) → We extend to (piecewise) fractional polynomials

## An example on mortgage payment

Recall

$$P = A \left( \frac{1}{1+r} + \dots + \frac{1}{(1+r)^t} \right) = A \frac{(1+r)^t - 1}{r(1+r)^t}$$

$$f_{P,t}(r) := A = \frac{Pr(1+r)^t}{(1+r)^t - 1}$$

- How worst can  $\mathbb{E}(f_{P,t}(r))$  be?  $\rightarrow \sup \mathbb{E}[f_{P,t}(r)]?$
- bound for stop-loss insurance?  $\rightarrow \sup \mathbb{E}[(f_{P,t}(r) - h)_+]$
- binary option bound?  $\rightarrow \sup \mathbb{P}[f_{P,t}(r) \geq h] = \sup \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{f_{P,t}(r) \geq h}]$

# An example on mortgage payment

Recall

$$P = A \left( \frac{1}{1+r} + \dots + \frac{1}{(1+r)^t} \right) = A \frac{(1+r)^t - 1}{r(1+r)^t}$$

$$f_{P,t}(r) := A = \frac{Pr(1+r)^t}{(1+r)^t - 1}$$

- How worst can  $\mathbb{E}(f_{P,t}(r))$  be?  $\rightarrow \sup \mathbb{E}[f_{P,t}(r)]?$
- bound for stop-loss insurance?  $\rightarrow \sup \mathbb{E}[(f_{P,t}(r) - h)_+]$
- binary option bound?  $\rightarrow \sup \mathbb{P}[f_{P,t}(r) \geq h] = \sup \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{f_{P,t}(r) \geq h}]$

## An example on mortgage payment

Recall

$$P = A \left( \frac{1}{1+r} + \dots + \frac{1}{(1+r)^t} \right) = A \frac{(1+r)^t - 1}{r(1+r)^t}$$

$$f_{P,t}(r) := A = \frac{Pr(1+r)^t}{(1+r)^t - 1}$$

- How worst can  $\mathbb{E}(f_{P,t}(r))$  be?  $\rightarrow \sup \mathbb{E}[f_{P,t}(r)]?$
- bound for stop-loss insurance?  $\rightarrow \sup \mathbb{E}[(f_{P,t}(r) - h)_+]$
- binary option bound?  $\rightarrow \sup \mathbb{P}[f_{P,t}(r) \geq h] = \sup \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{f_{P,t}(r) \geq h}]$

## An example on mortgage payment

Recall

$$P = A \left( \frac{1}{1+r} + \dots + \frac{1}{(1+r)^t} \right) = A \frac{(1+r)^t - 1}{r(1+r)^t}$$

$$f_{P,t}(r) := A = \frac{Pr(1+r)^t}{(1+r)^t - 1}$$

- How worst can  $\mathbb{E}(f_{P,t}(r))$  be?  $\rightarrow \sup \mathbb{E}[f_{P,t}(r)]?$
- bound for stop-loss insurance?  $\rightarrow \sup \mathbb{E}[(f_{P,t}(r) - h)_+]$
- binary option bound?  $\rightarrow \sup \mathbb{P}[f_{P,t}(r) \geq h] = \sup \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{f_{P,t}(r) \geq h}]$

## An example on mortgage payment

Recall

$$P = A \left( \frac{1}{1+r} + \dots + \frac{1}{(1+r)^t} \right) = A \frac{(1+r)^t - 1}{r(1+r)^t}$$

$$f_{P,t}(r) := A = \frac{Pr(1+r)^t}{(1+r)^t - 1}$$

- How worst can  $\mathbb{E}(f_{P,t}(r))$  be?  $\rightarrow \sup \mathbb{E}[f_{P,t}(r)]?$
- bound for stop-loss insurance?  $\rightarrow \sup \mathbb{E}[(f_{P,t}(r) - h)_+]$
- binary option bound?  $\rightarrow \sup \mathbb{P}[f_{P,t}(r) \geq h] = \sup \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{f_{P,t}(r) \geq h}]$

## An example on mortgage payment

Recall

$$P = A \left( \frac{1}{1+r} + \dots + \frac{1}{(1+r)^t} \right) = A \frac{(1+r)^t - 1}{r(1+r)^t}$$

$$f_{P,t}(r) := A = \frac{Pr(1+r)^t}{(1+r)^t - 1}$$

- How worst can  $\mathbb{E}(f_{P,t}(r))$  be?  $\rightarrow \sup \mathbb{E}[f_{P,t}(r)]?$
- bound for stop-loss insurance?  $\rightarrow \sup \mathbb{E}[(f_{P,t}(r) - h)_+]$
- binary option bound?  $\rightarrow \sup \mathbb{P}[f_{P,t}(r) \geq h] = \sup \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{f_{P,t}(r) \geq h}]$



## An example on mortgage payment

Recall

$$P = A \left( \frac{1}{1+r} + \dots + \frac{1}{(1+r)^t} \right) = A \frac{(1+r)^t - 1}{r(1+r)^t}$$

$$f_{P,t}(r) := A = \frac{Pr(1+r)^t}{(1+r)^t - 1}$$

- How worst can  $\mathbb{E}(f_{P,t}(r))$  be?  $\rightarrow \sup \mathbb{E}[f_{P,t}(r)]?$
- bound for stop-loss insurance?  $\rightarrow \sup \mathbb{E}[(f_{P,t}(r) - h)_+]$
- binary option bound?  $\rightarrow \sup \mathbb{P}[f_{P,t}(r) \geq h] = \sup \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{f_{P,t}(r) \geq h}]$

## Experiential Scenario

- loan \$1000, 20 periodic payments in return
- floating rate (assume latest 2.5%, so  $f_{1000,20}(0.025) = \$51.32$ .)
- 12-month Hong Kong Dollar Interest Rate (take 5 years, 10 years and 20 years samples)

period	$\mu$	$\sigma$	$\sup \mathbb{E}[f_{1000,20}(r)]$	$\frac{\sup \mathbb{E}[f_{1000,20}(r)]}{f_{1000,20}(0.025)} - 1$
5-year	1.45%	1.25%	\$58.2117	13%
10-year	1.27%	1.21%	\$57.0003	11%
20-year	3.60%	2.50%	\$71.9524	40%

## Experiential Scenario

- loan \$1000, 20 periodic payments in return
- floating rate (assume latest 2.5%, so  $f_{1000,20}(0.025) = \$51.32.$ )
- 12-month Hong Kong Dollar Interest Rate (take 5 years, 10 years and 20 years samples)

period	$\mu$	$\sigma$	$\sup \mathbb{E}[f_{1000,20}(r)]$	$\frac{\sup \mathbb{E}[f_{1000,20}(r)]}{f_{1000,20}(0.025)} - 1$
5-year	1.45%	1.25%	\$58.2117	13%
10-year	1.27%	1.21%	\$57.0003	11%
20-year	3.60%	2.50%	\$71.9524	40%

## Experiential Scenario

- loan \$1000, 20 periodic payments in return
- floating rate (assume latest 2.5%, so  $f_{1000,20}(0.025) = \$51.32.$ )
- 12-month Hong Kong Dollar Interest Rate (take 5 years, 10 years and 20 years samples)

period	$\mu$	$\sigma$	$\sup \mathbb{E}[f_{1000,20}(r)]$	$\frac{\sup \mathbb{E}[f_{1000,20}(r)]}{f_{1000,20}(0.025)} - 1$
5-year	1.45%	1.25%	\$58.2117	13%
10-year	1.27%	1.21%	\$57.0003	11%
20-year	3.60%	2.50%	\$71.9524	40%

## Experiential Scenario

- loan \$1000, 20 periodic payments in return
- floating rate (assume latest 2.5%, so  $f_{1000,20}(0.025) = \$51.32.$ )
- 12-month Hong Kong Dollar Interest Rate (take 5 years, 10 years and 20 years samples)

period	$\mu$	$\sigma$	$\sup \mathbb{E}[f_{1000,20}(r)]$	$\frac{\sup \mathbb{E}[f_{1000,20}(r)]}{f_{1000,20}(0.025)} - 1$
5-year	1.45%	1.25%	\$58.2117	13%
10-year	1.27%	1.21%	\$57.0003	11%
20-year	3.60%	2.50%	\$71.9524	40%

## Experiential Scenario (con'd)

- consider a threshold  $h$  in terms of quantifying  $\sigma$  above  $\mu$

period	$\mu + \sigma$	eqv. $h^1$	$\sup \mathbb{E}[f_{1000,20}(r) - h]_+$	$\sup \mathbb{P}(f_{1000,20}(r) \geq h)$
5-year	2.09%	\$61.6892	\$2.3786	0.6938
10-year	1.93%	\$60.7444	\$2.3082	0.6580
20-year	4.07%	\$74.0386	\$7.1618	0.8845
period	$\mu + 2\sigma$	eqv. $h^2$	$\sup \mathbb{E}[f_{1000,20}(r) - h]_+$	$\sup \mathbb{P}(f_{1000,20}(r) \geq h)$
5-year	3.23%	\$68.6531	\$1.3078	0.3303
10-year	3.05%	\$67.5268	\$1.2222	0.3161
20-year	5.91%	\$86.5486	\$4.1012	0.5394

---

<sup>1</sup> $h = f_{1000,20}(\mu + \sigma)$

<sup>2</sup> $h = f_{1000,20}(\mu + 2\sigma)$

## Experiential Scenario (con'd)

- consider a threshold  $h$  in terms of quantifying  $\sigma$  above  $\mu$

period	$\mu + \sigma$	eqv. $h^1$	$\sup \mathbb{E}[f_{1000,20}(r) - h]_+$	$\sup \mathbb{P}(f_{1000,20}(r) \geq h)$
5-year	2.09%	\$61.6892	\$2.3786	0.6938
10-year	1.93%	\$60.7444	\$2.3082	0.6580
20-year	4.07%	\$74.0386	\$7.1618	0.8845
period	$\mu + 2\sigma$	eqv. $h^2$	$\sup \mathbb{E}[f_{1000,20}(r) - h]_+$	$\sup \mathbb{P}(f_{1000,20}(r) \geq h)$
5-year	3.23%	\$68.6531	\$1.3078	0.3303
10-year	3.05%	\$67.5268	\$1.2222	0.3161
20-year	5.91%	\$86.5486	\$4.1012	0.5394

---

<sup>1</sup> $h = f_{1000,20}(\mu + \sigma)$

<sup>2</sup> $h = f_{1000,20}(\mu + 2\sigma)$

## Nonlinear $\psi(x)$ application

- interest rate (in a broad sense)
- mortgage payments  
→  $x$  is mortgage rate
- annuity life insurance  
→  $x$  is discounted rate
- bond options  
→  $x$  is bond yield
- ... may be more!



## Nonlinear $\psi(x)$ application

- interest rate (in a broad sense)
- mortgage payments  
→  $x$  is mortgage rate
- annuity life insurance  
→  $x$  is discounted rate
- bond options  
→  $x$  is bond yield
- ... may be more!

## Nonlinear $\psi(x)$ application

- interest rate (in a broad sense)
- mortgage payments  
→  $x$  is mortgage rate
- annuity life insurance  
→  $x$  is discounted rate
- bond options  
→  $x$  is bond yield
- ... may be more!

## Nonlinear $\psi(x)$ application

- interest rate (in a broad sense)
- mortgage payments  
→  $x$  is mortgage rate
- annuity life insurance  
→  $x$  is discounted rate
- bond options  
→  $x$  is bond yield
- ... may be more!

## Nonlinear $\psi(x)$ application

- interest rate (in a broad sense)
- mortgage payments  
→  $x$  is mortgage rate
- annuity life insurance  
→  $x$  is discounted rate
- bond options  
→  $x$  is bond yield
- ... may be more!



Before the end...

Q&A



The end

Thank you!